

Share any other thoughts or comments you have on the proposed plans.

Definitely keep the subdivisions whole

B1 and W2 are divided primarily by dominant party affiliation. This will result in uncompromising behavior in what will become a dysfunctional council. If instead, you split south/middle/north, the elected officials would have to be able to speak to both sides, resulting in a much more congenial council. Good luck with what you've got here.

Neither map is okay. The neighborhoods that connect to Hwy 7 should all be in the same district, regardless of what county they are in. Recommend putting Parkdale & Compass with Vista Ridge and the airpark, and Commons North of Erie Parkway with Old Town.

I think there are some neighborhoods that will be more heavily impacted by the different proposals than others. I think it makes the most sense to have as many of the smaller neighborhoods grouped together as possible and by geographic interests. For example, having my neighborhood of Erie Commons grouped with Old Town and other smaller, centralized neighborhoods (vs Vista Ridge/pointe) means more people whose daily lives would be impacted by the "Town Center" development are grouped together. This makes more sense regardless of whether precincts or counties are "whole".

Without context it's difficult to share thoughts. Plan one preference is to have our neighborhood aligned more closely to old town.

Erie Commons is a smaller community with distinct needs that are different from a larger community like Vista Ridge. If these are the two options, it makes more sense for Erie Commons to be paired with Old Town.

Close the Erie airport

As part of Erie Commons, I think that it is more important for our community to be represented as a part of District 2 and the decisions when it comes to Old Town, and particularly Town Center as those are our more immediate neighbors and neighborhoods. Erie Commons has interests that align more with the districting lines in plan 1. I believe if we are lumped in with Vista Ridge (in plan 2), their interests will overwhelm our much smaller community and they are further from the center of town, therefore having significantly differing interests. I would be happy to discuss more at length. Lindsey Terranova, 716-341-3024

Keep Erie Commons together. However, based on anticipated population growth, B1 should include all of Boulder County.

Good job, thank you for letting us have input.

I choose 2 because it's less likely to change when the neighborhoods in the north get built.

I do not like that Plan 1 separates a single neighborhood (Erie Highlands) into 2 districts. Plan 2 keeps Erie Highlands in 1 district, and includes other nearby land that directly impacts the neighborhood, such as Westerly and the high school and pk8 area.

I don't like with of these proposed plans. Why are you splitting up Boulder county? I think the line for B1 should be all the way north on Countyline Road and be everything west of Countyline Road. I'm also curious on the reasoning behind not using Erie Pkw as another dividing line? Is that because of who pays Metro taxes?

It doesn't make sense to me to have more neighborhood communities split between districts. Plan #2 looks to have less split neighborhoods.

I chose Plan #2 because I think keeping subdivisions whole is most important so that a Councilor works for the whole subdivision's needs. Having Election Precincts whole is also a benefit.

Unclear on the impact of the precincts.

The districts should keep neighborhoods together rather than splitting them up.

I don't like home rule. Erie residents in Weld County are always screwed over by idiot Boulder County Democrats. Remember Covid and the unfairness and probably illegal rules put in place by a Mayor who didn't respect county boundaries?

Subdivisions are whole in this approach which builds a better sense of community within the larger community.
Neighborhoods should not be split if possible. Neighbors usually have common concerns.
I would love an explanation of each map
I would want to make sure the major neighborhoods such as Colliers and Erie Highlands and not lumped together.
I think it's important to keep subdivisions whole so that all persons or homeowners living in that subdivision can contact their 2 elected councilors without being confused by potentially having 4 councilors representing their subdivision.
Proposed plan 1 seems to chop up some neighborhoods. I don't feel representation should split areas this way.
Neighborhoods being whole is far more important than counties being whole!
Keeping subdivisions and election precincts whole is paramount in keeping representative districts.
Plan #1 has a strange finger reaching for certain houses. It doesn't seem as even as #2
Looks just dandy
The thought of a number of commission members was to create districts for residents living in homes with similar ages and issues. the districts were never going to be perfect, but plan 2 is closer to that goal.
I don't understand why some neighborhoods are entirely split between two boundaries. This does not feel like effective representation.
Makes more sense to keep newer communities of Erie Highlands and Colliers together. Will be easier to campaign and not so confusing for candidates.
I prefer that subdivisions be whole, therefore Plan #2.
I'm not sure what the pros and cons are for each option, but I'm voting for #2 because it seems simpler to keep the subdivisions whole.
While election precinct and subdivisions seem to be better for representation. But there will be a population disparity in W1 when Westerly, Summerfield, and Spring Hill are built out.
It's nice that plan 2 has all of the districts connected. It's weird to see plan 1 with a district being split and divided by another one.
Subdivisions should be in the same district.
Neither map is good, but the second map keeps neighborhoods together. Both maps look like they are gerrymandering to protect current board members, rather than something that reflects the interests of neighborhoods.
seems like subdivisions should be together
#1 is dividing neighborhoods. Neighbors across the street from each other would vote in different precincts. #2 is much cleaner, easier to figure out what precinct a household belongs to.
#2 looks cleaner - not sure how the population numbers shake out. I don't like how 1 randomly carves up Erie Highlands. The downside with #2 is that it will become the largest district as development growth happens and will need to be redistricted sooner than #1 I would guess.
Neither is great given that the Kenosha neighborhoods are in Boulder County but get included in W1, primarily Weld County.
Plan 1 W1 district should be modified to include all of Erie Commons (currently excludes a couple of lots on south end) and instead of splitting the Erie Commons neighborhood into 2 districts put Grand View into W1 and all of Erie Highlands in W2
As one of the Home Rule Commissioners, it's my personal opinion that plan #2 best represents what our intentions were when drafting the charter.
Keeping both election precincts and subdivisions whole is preferable in my opinion.
We prefer plan #2 keeping subdivisions and precincts whole. We question not keeping counties whole as well.

Plan 1 has a goofy division of houses within the Highlands neighborhood, which could cause confusion, where as Plan 2 appears to have greater continuity within established neighborhoods, only demarcated by major roads or other boundaries (the bike trail separating Old Town from north Commons

Does this map include areas of approved-but-not-yet-built subdivisions?

Plan #1 seems more evenly proportioned for future growth in Erie.

I appreciate that Plan 2 maintains subdivision cohesion, but I believe that the fact that it keeps Precincts whole is the most important consideration to prevent voter confusion. Plan 2 is my clear winner.

Overall, I do not like either plan. Both fail to align the districts with logical distinctions of the town while also failing to account for near-term development (i.e. Four Corners) that will add to an already overpopulated district B1. In general, I feel that the proposed districts will not induce a strong feeling within the citizens of ownership of the Town Council.

I recommend keeping everything on the west side of ECLR in one district or ward (B1).

Map 2 makes subdivisions that are already isolated from the rest of Erie even more isoy

Plan 2 would provide more data about the voting habits of our councilors if the subdivisions are whole.

Counties should be whole. Erie is experiencing rapid growth and Westerly and Parkland are not in final phases yet. How often will redistricting be looked at? We're already looking at data that is 4 years old.

Why are you using Google Maps? The city has access to some great Esri products for a better interactive mapping exp.

Northern part of Erie that now is called "old Erie" that's been here for so long needs to be protected with a larger district

Not enough information on this survey to make a decision.

Why are we making these changes? I wish we had more info on how these changes impact community members.

Map 1 looks a lot more gerrymandered to me (with W2 nearly splitting W1) please stay away from that

Keep subdivisions whole.